

Beyond boomercentrism ^[1]

Author: Kershaw, Paul

Source: The Vancouver Sun

Format: Article

Publication Date: 20 Apr 2010

AVAILABILITY

See text below

EXCERPTS

...

How do we know what policy choices are compromising the ability of the next generation? For a decade, University of B. C researchers at the Human Early Learning Partnership, a world-renowned Global Knowledge Hub in research about the social determinants of child development, have worked with kindergarten teachers in almost all classrooms, in all school districts around the province. The data we collect show that 29 per cent of B.C. children are vulnerable before they reach kindergarten.

By vulnerable, I don't mean that kindergarten kids aren't the next Mozart or Einstein. Rather, vulnerable children struggle with one or more age appropriate tasks, such as holding a pencil, climbing stairs, following instructions from teachers, getting along on the playground and knowing 10 letters.

You might think that child vulnerability is primarily a problem for the income-poor. But it's not. The majority of vulnerable B.C. children reside in middle-or upper-income households and neighbourhoods. Early vulnerability is a problem for mainstream families.

What is behind all this early vulnerability? The answer is that, compared with other countries, we don't invest much to support families to access the time, resources and community services they need to fulfil their caregiving and earning responsibilities. The fact is that Canada consistently places near, or at, the bottom of UNICEF and other international rankings of child care, early learning, work-life balance and family poverty policies. But most Canadians don't know this fact.

Why don't we know? Part of the reason is that we are a boomercentric society. Much of our policy debate is dominated by issues that speak to the aging demographic, especially medical care and pensions. It happened again at the end of March: Federal Finance Minister Jim Flaherty announced that his government was revisiting whether the country's retirement income system needs improvement. Opposition members responded by lamenting the slow pace of government efforts to fix the alleged pension problem.

Pensions are being prioritized (again!) by the government and opposition alike, even though the finance minister concedes that the Canadian system is considered strong by international standards.

Without doubt, we can and should ensure our pension system remains near the top of the international ranking. Baby boomers have every reason to desire a comfortable retirement. But where is the debate about policy issues for which Canada is ranked badly? We may

have owned the podium at the Olympic and Paralympic Games, but time and time again, research shows that we can't even see the podium when it comes to family policy for young kids. When do we question our poor standing on this issue in the House of Commons, or the legislature?

...

- reprinted from the Vancouver Sun

Region: Canada ^[2]

Tags: child development ^[3]

outcomes ^[4]

Source URL (modified on 27 Jan 2022): <https://childcarecanada.org/documents/child-care-news/10/04/beyond-boomercentrism>

Links

[1] <https://childcarecanada.org/documents/child-care-news/10/04/beyond-boomercentrism> [2] <https://childcarecanada.org/taxonomy/term/7864> [3] <https://childcarecanada.org/category/tags/child-development> [4] <https://childcarecanada.org/category/tags/outcomes>