

Ottawa should think hard before squandering money on income splitting ^[1]

Opinion

Author: Torjman, Sherrie & Battle, Ken

Source: Toronto Star ^[2]

Format: Article

Publication Date: 3 Oct 2014

EXCERPTS

Finance Minister Joe Oliver might want to consider starring in his own TV adventure as "The Six Billion Dollar Man" now that the 2014 federal budget is projecting a \$6.4-billion surplus for 2015. Some recent estimates even peg the amount at more than \$8 billion.

Ottawa has already signalled its plan for these funds. The bulk of it will go toward tax cuts for hard-working Canadians. Tax cuts for weary middle-class families. Tax cuts for worthy businesses, which create jobs and economic growth.

While we support job creation and financial assistance for Canadian households, we have serious reservations about current and proposed tax measures.

The federal government is determined to lower income taxes for one-earner, two-parent families through a provision known as "income splitting." This measure divides earnings between spouses so that total household income is taxed at a lower rate than if it were assessed as one higher income, the current practice.

Income splitting belongs in a Leave it to Beaver world, not the current reality where the majority of women participate in the paid labour market. The proposed measure would be a big tax win for the minority 15 per cent of households - most with high incomes - with a sole male breadwinner and stay-at-home mother. Single adults, single parents, childless couples and lower-income families would get nothing.

Income splitting is out of step - and not just with trends in family structure. Its projected \$2.6-billion cost is a lot of buck for relatively small impact. An enhanced Canada child tax benefit would be a far better use of such money.

The child tax benefit consists of two parts: a base benefit that goes to the large majority of Canadian families with children, and a supplement for low- and modest-income households. Any new funds should enhance the base benefit so that the combined base and supplement would total a maximum \$5,600 per child. This reform would provide a sizable increase in child benefits not only for the poor, but also the majority of modest- and middle-income families - an attractive proposal given the widespread concern of all political parties in the decline of the middle class.

A second flaw of new tax cuts is that they would build on an already unfair foundation. Under the existing system, most tax breaks take the form of non-refundable credits, which reduce the amount of income taxes owed. Higher-income households benefit most from non-refundable credits. Modest- and lower-income households typically derive little or no benefit.

Tax breaks should assist all families and not just the well-off. Selected tax credits should be made refundable or the equivalent tax expenditures could be delivered as a direct payment outside of the tax system.

Finally, certain functions are best carried out through collective investment rather than tax breaks. Tax cuts to individual households do not build a country with public amenities that can be enjoyed by all citizens, regardless of income.

...

Read online at the Toronto Star ^[2]

Region: Canada ^[3]

Tags: public opinion ^[4]

federal programs ^[5]

Source URL (modified on 27 Jan 2022): <https://childcarecanada.org/documents/child-care-news/14/10/ottawa-should-think-hard-squandering-money-income-splitting>

Links

[1] <https://childcarecanada.org/documents/child-care-news/14/10/ottawa-should-think-hard-squandering-money-income-splitting> ^[2]

https://www.thestar.com/opinion/commentary/2014/10/03/ottawa_should_think_hard_before_squandering_money_on_income_splitting.html ^[3]

<https://childcarecanada.org/taxonomy/term/7864> ^[4] <https://childcarecanada.org/category/tags/public-opinion> ^[5]

<https://childcarecanada.org/category/tags/federal-programs>